

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2012 11:55 AM

To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training

Subject: Comments on new trade

To whom it may concern,

This is already in the IBEW JATC curriculum. It would be a redundant program.

Ernie

Ernie Hartman
Business Manager
IBEW Local 139

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Rick Cataldo [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 06, 2012 10:15 AM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: "Comments on New Trade."

I'm writing to you today to give you my comments and concerns regarding a "new" trade, which in my view doesn't meet the requirements for a new trade. The reasons for my opinion are as follows.

1. Work processes:
 - a. There aren't enough work processes, only 6 with an option for the seventh.
 - b. The work processes are very similar to most of the processes that electricians use.
 - c. The disproportionate number of hours, over half the hours are in Troubleshooting alone with another 1100 hours in maintenance.

2. Related instruction:
 - a. There is no breakdown of how many number of hours on only 5 topics which include safety, drawings, math, trade theory and workplace skills.
 - b. Inside of these topics there is many variables such as if "apprentice will use on the job", "if apprentice will perform welding on the job", "if applicable". What happens to his/her related instruction if they don't use these different things on the job?

I think this "new" trade is not really a new trade and will set a bad precedent if allowed by the NYSDOL.

Thank You for this opportunity to respond.

Richard L. Cataldo
Tri-City JATC
Training Director
[REDACTED]

From: Donald Rahm [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 9:36 AM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: Comments on New Trade

Good Morning. I am writing to comment on this "new" trade – Electronics Technician. After reviewing the outline I would ask why is this "new"? I believe most if not all of the work processes and related instructions is covered under the inside appendix of the IBEW/JATC approved programs. I strongly object to the idea of creating a new trade by taking the work away from a well established, very successful apprenticeship electrical program and trade, and making it "new". I would urge the NYSDOL not to set precedent by allowing this to happen.

Thank you for allowing time to comment on this issue.

Donald W. Rahm
Business Manager
IBEW Local 236

[REDACTED]

From: Craig Jacobs [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 27, 2012 4:58 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Cc: Craig Jacobs
Subject: Comments on New Trade

Comments on New Trade: Electronics Technician

Craig Jacobs, Training Director for IBEW Local Union 363

Comments:

Why does this new program require 8000 hours?, which is very similar to the existing Electrical Apprenticeship in the state of New York

Also, is this proposed program only to be used for manufacturing? Because I would be in opposition if it is to be used for anything other than manufacturing.

Thank you,
Craig Jacobs
IBEW Local Union 363

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Jake Benninger [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 12:54 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Cc: Mike
Subject: Electrical Technician Apprenticeship Request

I question if the request for a new apprenticeship is a duplication of the JATC programs already in place in New York State.

Also is the new program solely for maintenance and/or manufacturing?

Jake Benninger
Training Director
Ithaca Electrical JATC
Ithaca, NY

From: George Schuck [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 1:01 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: Comments on New Trade

Please let this letter to voice my concerns about this proposed new trade of Electronics Technician. It is my understanding that this is a new manufacturing trade for a specific switch. I do not see any indication in the work processes or the related instruction that confirms my assumption. The program also seems to be a very lengthy program at 8,000 hours of on the job training which mimics the apprenticeship for the trade of electrician which already has the installation of telephone switches and equipment included in our existing work processes.

The 1,100 hours in the work processes devoted to "Performing Maintenance" and the 4,500 devoted to "Troubleshooting" would lead me to believe that this trade may not be for the manufacture of a switch but instead for the installation and maintenance of the equipment that has been performed by Electricians for decades.

I believe that if this trade is for the manufacturing of a specific switch, it should be more clearly defined prior to approval.

*George K. Schuck
Director of Apprentice Training
Joint Industry Board of the Electrical Industry*

From: Don Morgan [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 1:17 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: comments on new trade

Comments on New Trade: Electronics Technician

This program is to similar to the NYS Electrician Program.
Is this program strictly for manufacturing ?
I would be in opposition if this is outside of manufacturing.

Don Morgan
Business Manager
IBEW
Local 43

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 1:37 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: Electronics Technician Classification

Dear Sir:

I am writing regarding the pending application by Marquardt Switches Inc. [REDACTED] for the trade of "Electronic Technician". Local Union No. 3, IBEW objects to the establishment of such a program if it is applicable to the building and construction trades. The scope of the proposed program would encroach upon the long established program for electrician. Such encroachment would result in undermining the on-the-job work opportunities for registered apprentices enrolled in every electrician program state-wide.

If the program sought by Marquardt Switches Inc. is restricted to that of an industrial only manufacturing business. Local Union No. 3, IBEW does not object. Please inform me regarding the classification of the proposed program.

Sincerely,
Raymond Melville
Sr. Asst. Business Manager
Local Union No. 3, IBEW

From: Tom Spicer [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 1:41 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: Comments On New Trade

Electronics Technician

The proposed new program for Electronics Technician is very similar to the existing Electrical Apprenticeship in New York State even to the amount of time required 8000 hours. This is supposed to be used for manufacturing? I would be strongly opposed if this is used for anything other than Manufacturing.

Thank You
Thomas Spicer

Thomas Spicer
Business Manager
IBEW Local 325

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Bruce Rosbrook [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 6:02 PM
To: labor.sm.apprenticeship.training
Subject: Comments on new trades

I am Bruce Rosbrook Training Director for the EJATC of Watertown NY. and I have a couple of questions and comments about this pending trade. Would this trade only be for manufacturing ?Also 8000 hours seems like a lot of hours in a manufacturing setting ? If this apprenticeship is going to be used on anything other than manufacturing I would be opposed to this trade.

Thank you for your time.

Bruce Rosbrook
Training Director EJATC of Watertown

[REDACTED]